

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,986	04/20/2005	Gunter Saliger	66489-050	5951	
7590 06/16/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Dykema Goss	sett		KILKENNY, PATRICK J		
Suite 300 1300 I Street N	I W		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20005-3353			3732		

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/531,986	SALINGER, GUNTER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patrick J. Kilkenny	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 April 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 April 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/20/2005. S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/531,986

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 4/20/2005 is noted.

The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said cavity" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the machining operation" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3732

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taub et al. (6,334,772) in view of DaSilva et al. (6,419,484). Taub et al. discloses a system and methods for positioning a dental instrument at the correct position on a preparation sight. The methods involve obtaining a virtual image with computing positiondependent surface features of 2D data (i.e. tooth outline in Figs. 5A and 5B) relative to the desired end position of the dental device (Column 2, lines 56-63 and Column 7, lines 33-40). There is also a real image captured of the device and tooth by a camera located on the hand instrument to be used at the preparation site (Fig. 3). The two images are superimposed using the surface features to bring the two images to coincidence (Column 2, lines 56-63 and Column 7, lines 33-40). The surface features used to bring the two images into coincidence can also be a horizontal line (Figs. 6, 7A, The system for positioning the dental device includes a hand instrument with and 7B). a placement tool (22), a camera (26), and evaluating unit consisting of software for computing the reference features (which can be vectors such as horizontal and vertical lines) (Column 3, lines 43-53). The camera is integrated into the instrument and near the end of the placement tool. The distance for the placement tool end to the camera is known the depth of focus allows for close viewing and the lens can be a panoramic lens (Column 5, lines 47-50). Taub et al. does not disclose that the alignment is for positioning and implant into a jaw cavity and that the tool is a machining tool for drilling the cavity. DaSilva et al. discloses using optical fibers adjacent a dental drill for correct

Art Unit: 3732

positioning of the drill. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system and methods of Taub et al., by incorporating a dental drill as the tool in the system for proper placement, as taught by DaSilva, since the methods of aligning the end position of the device are the same and it is known, and important, to keep dental drills within precise locations to avoid nerve damage.

With respect to claim 7, the office takes Official Notice that an illumination means, even if they are not directly incorporated into the image capture device are always used in image capturing (i.e. external light sources or natural lighting).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO –892 for prior art of recordAny inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick J. Kilkenny whose telephone number is (571) 272-8684. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571) 272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,986

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patrick J. Kilkenny

Page 5

Art Unit 3732 June 10, 2006

Celiolou

P.JK

PATRICIA BIANCO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

6/12/06